British Nationalist

AUGUST 1983 12p

FOR RACE AND NATION

'DEMOCRACY': THE BIG FRAUD

Hanging vote: once again Parliament shows contempt for the people

PARLIAMENT once again failed the people in the Capital Punishment debate last month. All the motions calling for the restoration of the death penalty were defeated by a decisive majority. The medley of arrogant *elitists*, bleeding-heart liberals and Marxist-orientated social engineers who go to make up the victorious abolitionist lobby at Westminster are now triumphantly crowing that the issue is dead and buried for all time after thrice having been voted down in the House of Commons.

In the meantime our Jewish Home Secretary Leon Brittan has announced that the Government will not permit a public referendum on the issue – despite the fact that opinion polls regularly show three quarters of the population to be in favour of restoration.

Just as Parliament has proved out of step with the will of the people, so also has the press. In the run-up to the big debate it was obvious that the newspapers – including the supposedly 'right-wing' *Daily Mail* – were overwhelmingly on the side of abolition. In the world of broadcasting it was no different. It was quite apparent from the presentation of all programmes connected with the capital punishment argument that presenters and commentators on TV and Radio were predominantly abolitionist in their leanings.

We believe that the outcome of the capital punishment debate was a bad outcome. Bad for Britain. Bad for the British people. Bad for the nation's battle against violent crime.

THE HOME SECRETARY
Tells us we cannot have a referendum on death penalty

It does, however, have one good feature.

It provides just one more example – indeed perhaps the most perfect example to date – of the fraudulence of the political system known as 'Democracy'.

Remember what they told us at school about Democracy: that it means 'Government of the people, for the people and by the people'? Democracy is supposed to be the form of government that carries out the people's will. The elected representatives of the people are there in Parliament to 'serve' the people, to govern in accordance with their wishes. It is the same with the press and broadcasting services. They too are 'democratic'. They exist to serve the popular will!

All in contrast to those wicked 'dictatorships' of course, where the people have no say in things at all, where everything is 'controlled' by ruling *elites* remote from the people who have contempt for the people. Aren't we lucky, they've been telling us all these years, that we live under a 'democracy' and not under a 'dictatorship'. After all, isn't it what we've fought all these wars for – to defend 'Democracy' and to destroy 'Dictatorship'?

All hogwash. Pure unadulterated hogwash.

The political system of Britain, which we call 'Democracy', does not represent the will of the people. It never did and it never will.

TEMPLE OF HUMBUG
The place where the 'people's representatives' double-cross the people

It represents only the will of vested interests – oligarchies of money, privilege and patronage – the oligarchies that control the political parties, the press, broadcasting and virtually everything else.

The so-called 'free debate' and 'free choice' that are supposed to exist under this system are nothing but a charade. In the questions that really matter the people have no choice at all – only the choice between two or three political factions headed by different personalities, who wear different coloured rosettes, who call themselves by different names ,but who stand for practically the same things.

Dictatorships have their faults but at least there is one thing that can be said for them. They do not try to maintain the dishonest pretence that 'the people' decide everything. And sometimes – though not always – they are controlled by interests that are **patriotic** and owe their existence to a base of **genuine popular support**, as distinct from the *elitist* and plutocratic interests that control the system of government we have in Britain.

WHERE HAS PEOPLE'S WILL MOST PREVAILED?

Indeed it might be asked: in which country has the popular will prevailed more in the actions of government – Spain under Franco or Britain under Parliament and the multi-party system? There is not the slightest evidence that the latter has been the case.

Churchill was reported as once saying: "All political systems are bad but Democracy is less bad than the others."

We agree with Churchill over the first part of this statement: all political systems are bad – or at least very imperfect.

But the kind of political system we have in Britain today is not 'less bad' than the others; it is one of the very worst that could possibly be.

If you want evidence of this, look at the international stature of Britain 50 years ago and look at it now!

THE PEOPLE'S 'CHOICE'

The racket that the politicians are fond of calling 'Democracy' thrives on the myth that it offers the people a 'choice' of policies between the different parties. The reality is that over a wide range of major issues the people have no choice at all, since the leading parties offer the same – or almost the same – policies. Here are some examples.

	TORIES	LABOUR	LIBERAL/SDP
UNEMPLOYMENT & IMPORTS	No import controls	Very limited import controls	No import controls
CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	Largely against	Against	Against
IMMIGRATION	In favour	In favour	In favour
COMMON MARKET	In favour	Say they are against but did not take Britain out when in office	In favour
OVERSEAS AID	In favour	In favour	In favour

British **Nationalist**

No. 30 AUGUST 1983

Editor: Ronald Rickcord
Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

M.P.s FLOUT PUBLIC OPINION

THE COMMONS debate on capital punishment completely demolished the pretence that Britain is a democracy. By flouting public opinion at a time when opinion polls show that 77 per cent of the people favour the return of hanging, MPs clearly demonstrated that our parliamentary system is a hollow sham.

From beginning to end the debate was a hypocritical farce. All the evidence suggests that the Government had no intention of allowing the death penalty to be restored, and that the real reason for staging the debate was to placate the public and to enable those MPs who had given pledges to vote for the restoration of hanging during the election the opportunity to salve their tender consciences.

Had Mrs. Thatcher genuinely desired the return of capital punishment as she so loudly proclaimed during the election, why did she allow MPs a "free vote"? Why did she give such half-hearted support to those who favoured hanging? Why did she announce that even if MPs voted for the return of hanging, the Government would not allocate parliamentary time for the necessary legislation, which would therefore have to rely for suvival on the chancy business of being introduced as a Private Member's Bill? And why did she insist on the debate being held before the Tory Conference?

Above all, why did Mrs. Thatcher allow Home Secretary Leon Brittan to open the debate? By his inept performance Mr. Brittan played right into the hands of the abolitionists.

Public disquiet over the rising number of murders and the politicians' failure to do anything about it makes the issue of capital punishment one which, in a supposedly democratic society, ought to be decided by a referendum. But already Mr. Brittan has arrogantly told us that the matter is too complex to be entrusted to ordinary people. What nonsense! We were allowed a referendum on the Common Market and that involved issues that were infinitely more complicated than the simple matter of whether or not murderers should hang. The truth is that the politicians know that it would be far more difficult to persuade the people to vote against their better judgement on hanging than it was on the Market.

The final impertinence now being made by MPs is the suggestion that the matter is now closed and that there will be no further debates on it. How presumptuous they are! Do they imagine that they legislate for all time? One day, sooner or later, we shall have a British Nationalist Government. When we do, murderers and terrorists will be dealt with in the manner that they so richly deserve.

Open letter to Andrew Brons

SHORTLY after the General Election Mr. Andrew Brons, Chairman of the National Front, sent a letter to a number of candidates who stood for the British National Party in which he made numerous accusations against Mr. John Tyndall and other leading personalities in the BNP. Unfortunately the letter from Mr. Brons is too long (2,500 words) to reproduce in *British Nationalist*, but our Editor, Ronald Rickcord, who as a candidate also received the letter, has sent the following reply to Mr. Brons. From Mr. Rickcord's reply, readers will get some idea of the depths to which Messrs. Brons and Webster are prepared to descend in their unsuccessful attempts to undermine the morale and loyalty of BNP members.

from

THE EDITOR

Dear Mr. Brons,

Thank you for your letter dated 22nd June 1983 and also for the Election Manifsto.

I must say that I was rather surprised to get your letter, and even more surprised at your remarks about my "personal sincerity and integrity." If that is your real opinion of me I wonder why it was that I was expelled from the NF simply for criticising the homosexual activities of Mr. Martin Webster and his "gay" friends on the National Directorate. Surely, as a person of sincerity and integrity, it was my duty to speak out against such goings-on which I felt would do the Party irreparable harm? Alas, my worst fears were realised, and today the NF is little more than a laughing stock, regarded by many Nationalists with contempt.

So it is clear that your letter is nothing more than a forlorn attempt to inveigle me, and the other British National Party election candidates to whom it has been sent, into rejoining an organisation dominated by pederasts. However, your letter is so full of factual errors, misrepresentations, unfounded innuendos and attempts to smear our Party Leader, Mr. John Tyndall, that I cannot believe that it was written by you, but suspect that it is the work of the perverted and malevolent Mr. Webster.

Nevertheless, despite the fact that I am extremely busy following up enquiries that resulted from our election campaign, I feel that I must set the record straight regarding some of the charges made in your letter; but I have neither the time nor the inclination to answer some of your wilder charges, which are so preposterous that they are not worth commenting upon.

BRONS (left) AND TYNDALL (right): Who has the best record?

To begin with, you erroneously suggest that the BNP put up 52 candidates in the election compared with the NF's 60, whereas the true figures were 54 and 58 candidates respectively. What you failed to mention was that at the time of the election the BNP was only 14 months old, whereas the NF has been going for some 16 years. For the BNP to be able to field only four candidates fewer than the NF after so short a time was, by any standards, a considerable achievement.

Instead of boasting about how many NF candidates there were, you should be lamenting the fact that the number of NF candidates who stood in 1983 was less than 20% of those who stood in the 1979 election. Nothing could be more indicative of the NF's decline. It is indeed a tragedy, for had it not been for the unfortunate split occasioned by the scandalous behaviour of Mr. Webster and his fellow perverts, the NF should have been able quite easily to contest every parliamentary seat in the land and have won hundreds of thousands of votes, if not millions. No, Mr. Brons, you have no cause for congratulating yourself.

While on the subject of figures, I note that in your letter you dwelt at considerable length on the percentage differences between the BNP and NF share of the vote in various constituencies. Arguing about differences which amount to less than a fraction of one per cent is a futile occupation. The fact that the total number of votes obtained by Nationalists of all persuasions was so small at a time when we should be reaping the rewards of many years' effort is a tragedy which is largely attributable to the divisive and destructive influence that Mr. Webster has had on British Nationalism.

Regarding the late appearance of our Election Manifesto, this was not, as you suggest, due to any failure of Mr. Tyndall or any other member of the Party; it was due to circumstances outside the Party's control. Nevertheless, our Manifesto Press Conference, which I attended, was most successful, and may have been all the more successful for coming late in the campaign; at any rate, it obtained quite as much publicity as yours, perhaps more. It so happened that I chanced to see the brief TV report of your Press Conference. What was particularly noticeable about it was that Mr. Webster occupied the central position and did the talking while you, the Chairman, played a minor rôle, if any at all. This confirms my opinion that Mr. Webster, rather than you, is the real "leader" of the NF.

As for your contention that only one of our candidates had personalised election addresses, once again you are wrong. To my own knowledge several of our candidates had their own addresses, and I produced one for my own campaign in Milton Keynes.

What I consider to be more unforgiveable about your letter is your shameless attempt to alienate BNP members from the leadership by making all sorts of unfounded accusations against Mr. Tyndall, even going so far as to accuse him of "incompetence". It cannot be denied that Mr. Tyndall is incomparably the most successful leader of British Nationalists since the war. It was he and his colleagues — many of them now in the BNP — who were mainly instrumental in establishing the National Front as a "household name". The fact that that name is now held in contempt by many patriots is the direct responsibility of Mr. Webster and his friends, and you must take some share of the blame for lending them your support.

Under Mr. Tyndall's leadership the NF put up 300 candidates in 1979, of which I was proud to be one. Under Mr. Brons in 1983 the Party could put up only 58 candidates. Which leader deserves the term "incompetent" to be applied to him? Furthermore, if whilst he was leader of the NF Mr. Tyndall "failed the test of leadership" as you suggest, why did you, a senior member of the National Directorate, remain silent? Surely, it was your duty to speak up and, if necessary, resign.

What I fail to understand, Mr. Brons, is how you, a married man with young children, can bring yourself to associate with the likes of Mr. Webster and his detestable friends knowing, as you do, about their grossly perverted proclivities. You are therefore wasting your time writing letters to me suggesting what is the "proper course" for me to take. Indeed, I regard such advice as an impertinence. Like many other Nationalists I long for the day when we will all be united; but I shall never have any truck with any organisation that is tainted by so disgusting a vice as sodomy.

Yours sincerely,
Ronald G. W. Rickcord

WHY NO INVESTIGATION?

REMEMBER the national outcry that followed the death 4 years ago of Blair Peach? Remember the crescendo of screams and yells that echoed throughout the press and broadcasting services? Peach, to remind you, died as a result of being hit during a violent demonstration staged by himself and his friends during a National Front election meeting in Southall.

Recently the NF held a meeting in Tottenham in support of a party candidate in a council by-election. A huge left-wing mob, consisting largely of Blacks, assembled, just as in Southall 4 years earlier, to attack NF members as they proceeded to and from the meeting. One of the NF members, Albert Marriner, was hit by a rock thrown by one of the mob and afterwards died.

Yet there has been no public outcry over the death of Mr. Marriner, not even a police investigation — contrast this with the huge sums of public money that were spent over the Peach enquiry.

Whatever our differences with the NF leadership, we regard this as a scandal and a disgrace. Marriner was murdered, and his killer should be brought to justice.

NEWS ROUND-UP

HARLEY STREET doctor Sisir Dutta, known as the "laser" doctor as a result of the injuries he has inflicted on his patients when giving them laser treatment, has been convicted of drug smuggling in the United States. The Indian-born doctor could spend up to 15 years in jail.

★★★

SIR ZELMAN COWAN, a Jew, has been appointed chairman of the Press Council. Sir Zelman was formerly Governor-General of Australia and is Provost of Oriel College, Oxford.

★★★

THE National Union of Teachers has announced that it will expel 'racist' teachers from the Union. It also wants education authorities to expel racist pupils from schools and colleges.

★★★

THE ASIAN Tory candidate in Birmingham Ladywood in the General Election, Mrs. Primila Le Hunte, and the Liberal candidate, Mr. Ken Hardeman, have complained to the police that large numbers of Asian men were intimidating voters outside polling stations by telling them that if they didn't vote Labour they would be deported. The new Labour MP for the constituency, Miss Clare Short, said: "As far as I am concerned nothing untoward took place."

★★★

THREE soldiers of the Royal Artillery have been jailed for sexually assaulting a 19-year-old girl in their barracks. Anthony Oteh from Nigeria, Michael Haughton from Jamaica and Trevor Ramsey from Manchester all received nine months. Haughton told the police that the girl had made "black guys look fools"; so they decided to "gang bang" her to teach her a lesson.

★★★

WIFE-BURNING in Delhi has reached epidemic proportions. Last year more than 260 Delhi women were burnt to death by their in-laws because their fathers were unable to afford to pay large enough dowries.

★★★

THE VISIT to London of the Mayor of Moscow for "peace talks" with GLC leader Ken Livingstone will cost London ratepayers about £12,500.

★★★

NIZAMODEEN HOSEIN, one of two brothers sentenced to life imprisonment in 1970 for the murder of Mrs. Muriel McKay, wife of a wealthy newspaper publisher, is being considered for parole. Mrs. McKay's body was never found. It is believed that she was devoured by pigs on the brothers' farm.

★★★

A CHILD MOLESTER, David Bosley, 34, has been put on probation for the third time after admitting 300 sex offences against seven-year-old boys. Judge David Tudor Price said that he had the "stark" choice of sending Bosley to prison for many years where, for his own safety he would have to be kept in solitary confinement, or he could return him to the community for medical treatment.

★★★

BLACK community worker Gerson Williams, who has 14 previous convictions, has been sentenced to 10 years' jail for armed robbery. Williams, who lives in Notting Hill, told police when arrested: "Your people sold my people into slavery and I am fighting that."

NEW MOVE TO GAG OPPOSITION

A FEW weeks before Mrs. Thatcher announced the date of the General Election, the House of Commons Select Committee on Home Affairs issued proposals for reforming our electoral laws. Among the observations made in the Committee's report appeared the following paragraph:

"It is generally accepted that a deposit of £150 would do little to prevent any number of frivolous or deliberately disruptive candidates from participating in election campaigns and distributing propaganda of a racially inflammatory or otherwise anti-social character."

Now we all know who the Committee has in mind when it refers to "frivolous" candidates, and it certainly does not mean buffoons like Screaming Lord Sutch or candidates of the Raving Loony Party. What the Committee specifically has in mind are those who oppose the multiracial society which the politicians have so foolishly thrust upon us. In short, what the Committee is really proposing is the gagging of serious British Nationalists rather than deterring frivolous exhibitionists and publicity seekers from participating in elections.

It must be remembered that the members of the Select Committee are themselves all MPs who belong to one or other of the Establishment parties, and for that reason they obviously have a vested interest in ensuring that the voice of British Nationalism remains unheard insofar as that is possible.

The Committee's proposal raises the following question: Are MPs fit people to decide who is, or who is not, frivolous? Are we really supposed to believe that people like Geoffrey Dickens, Clement Freud, Cyril Smith, Dennis Skinner, Tam Dalyell, Andrew Faulds and Sir George Young are any more serious than Screaming Lord Sutch? What about the illegal immigrant from the Punjab who stood as a candidate in Ladywood in an attempt to avoid deportation – would he be regarded a serious candidate? Obviously he would in these crazy times. And what about the Asian lady who stood for the Tories in the same constituency and who switched from a sari to a Western dress depending whether or not she was in an immigrant area – can anyone regard her as serious, or even honest?

One of the arguments used by the Committee as an excuse for deterring "frivolous" candidates from participating in elections was that it is wrong that such people should be given the privilege of sending election communications through the post at the taxpayer's expense. There are two things to be said about that line of argument: firstly, the vast majority of candidates not belonging to the Establishment parties **are** taxpayers; and secondly, it was the MPs of the Establishment parties who voted that they should be allowed to distribute their own insidious propaganda by courtesy of the Post Office and at the taxpayer's expense. Presumably they hoped that this would save their supporters the tiresome task of footslogging around their constituencies, and that being so, who can blame Nationalist candidates and others from benefiting from the perks that the Establishment parties have conferred upon themselves?

The Committee makes several other recommendations for reforming our electoral system, most of them quite as frivolous, perhaps more so, than any candidate who is ever likely to stand. Heaven knows there is real need for reform, but the important issues are either played down or ignored altogether. For instance, the Committee considers that it would be impracticable to prevent Irish people domiciled in Britain from participating in elections, and is not particularly concerned that British people living in Eire are not accorded reciprocal privileges. Similarly, the Committee seem quite unconcerned about the increasing number of aliens who vote in our elections – indeed, it suggests that the electoral registration forms are too difficult for immigrants to understand and should therefore be printed in the languages of the "ethnic minorities". It does not seem to have occurred to the Committee that if voters are unable to understand the English language there is a fair chance that they are also unable to understand the political issues involved and should not therefore have been enfranchised in the first place.

Whether or not the Government will implement the Committee's main proposal to raise candidates' deposits to £1,000 remains to be seen. On balance it seems likely that it will, if only to placate the influential Jewish lobby in Parliament and also the zealots of the extreme Left who fondly imagine that such action will deter Nationalist candidates from standing in future elections. If that is their hope they will be proved wrong as time will tell. They may raise deposits to £1,000, £2,000 or even £5,000, but they will never succeed in deflecting us from our resolve to offer the British people British candidates espousing pro-British policies in future elections. That is why BNP Branches and Groups have already started raising funds for the **next** General Election!

Vicious black crime in London

OUR PICTURE shows eight-month old Kelly-Marie Broughton. This lovely little baby, who lives in Walworth, was viciously slashed on the face and legs by two black youths armed with a broken milk bottle because her mother, Julie, refused to hand over her handbag and rings when they tried to mug her. This isn't the first time that Blacks in the area have either injured or threatened to injure babies in order to persuade young mothers to part with their valuables.

Crimes like these are part of the price that we Britons are paying for the criminal immigration policies which the politicians and race-mixers have inflicted on us. *British Nationalist* demands – IMMIGRATION MUST STOP NOW AND IMMIGRANTS AND OTHER OFFSPRING MUST BE MADE TO LEAVE OUR COUNTRY AS SOON AS THE NECESSARY ARRANGEMENTS CAN BE MADE. WE ARE SICKENED BY THEIR HORRIFIC CRIMES. LET THEM PERPETRATE THEIR CRIMES ELSEWHERE. COLOURED PEOPLE ARE NOT WANTED HERE.

Photofits of attackers



CYRIL SMITH (left): Buffoon

SIR GEORGE YOUNG (right): Serious?

Britons awake!

Those apathetic Britons stand
Like ostriches, heads in the sand,
Quite heedless of the creeping blight
That threatens them and all things white.

They do not see the dusky face
That lurks in almost every place,
Whose numbers multiply each year
Although they are not wanted here.

Wake up White Man! – it's not too late
To say "ENOUGH" and slam the gate:
So raise the flag of unity
And join us in the BNP!

J. D. HAMILTON

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–The principle of diplomatic immunity is a long-established custom that in earlier times enabled monarchs to communicate with each other by means of emissaries who were thus able to perform their duties without fear or hindrance. Today governments communicate via ambassadors and their numerous assistants, all of whom are given diplomatic immunity.

As a result there has been a vast increase in the number of people who are entitled to immunity, and this has led to widescale abuse of the system, which is now completely out of control. Many diplomats now use their immunity as a means of contravening our laws. Typical examples are the way that they ignore parking regulations and claim immunity to avoid prosecutions when they have been involved in road accidents.

It is one thing to allow diplomats to pursue their duties without interference; it is quite another thing to allow them to drive about on our roads killing and maiming British people – assuming that the roads aren't already blocked by illegally parked cars bearing CD plates!

DAVID BALL,
Market Harborough.

Sir,–No one could have done the cause for the reintroduction of hanging more harm than the Lithuanian-Jewish Home Secretary, Leon Brittan. By insisting that he personally favoured hanging IRA terrorists he invited pompous windbags like Roy Hattersley to suggest that the passing of death sentences by non-jury trials would be a "reversion to barbarism".

Mr. Brittan missed the opportunity of replying that the reason why jury trials for terrorists cannot be held in Ulster is precisely **because** there is no death penalty. If there were, jurors and many others who live in this Province wouldn't have to live in perpetual fear. Take murderers and terrorists permanently out of circulation and jurors wouldn't have to live under the threat of reprisals. As it is, I can only think that Messrs. Brittan, Prior and others of their ilk don't want to see the end of violence here.

J. REDMOND,
Belfast.

Sir,–Now that the General Election is over perhaps you will allow me to suggest what our future strategy should be.

As it is likely that the deposits for future elections are going to be very much more costly than they are now, I believe that we should take part in every by-election that we can between now and the next General Election. By-elections get much more publicity for the candidates of small parties than they obtain in General Elections. By taking part in by-elections, even if other Nationalist parties take part, we shall succeed in putting the BNP really and truly on the map. More publicity will mean more members, and more members mean more funds. More funds will mean that we will then be able to afford the increased deposits.

Perhaps I may also suggest that we should start a central election fund now. We mustn't let the Establishment prevent us from putting up candidates by making it too expensive for us. Let us show them that we won't be put off quite so easily.

NEIL McMILLAN,
Milton Keynes.

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

PRIMITIVE PRIMATE

The word "primate" is confusing because it is used to refer to both archbishops and apes – there is, apparently, a difference, believe it or not! Lately, however, one African archbishop, who

MILINGO: Witch doctor?

practises voodoo and is a witch doctor to boot, has been demonstrating just how apt the homonym is – after all, anyone who participates in mumbo jumbo of that sort and holds such primitive beliefs can have developed little beyond the ape-man stage. The primitive primate is 53-year-old Mgr. Emmanuel Milingo, Archbishop of Lusaka. Like the Church's involvement in the Banco Ambrosiano scandal, the case of the weird Mgr. Milingo is another embarrassment that the Vatican is frantically trying to keep quiet about. At present he is being kept incommunicado in Rome for "medical and psychiatric tests"!

KICKED UPSTAIRS

Some strange things have been happening in the aftermath of the General Election, not least of which was the conferring of a viscountcy on William Whitelaw. Although I have no particular objection to the reintroduction of hereditary peerages, I can think of no one less worthy of such an honour than Whitelaw. He was a catastrophic figure in Northern Ireland and followed that by being quite the worst Home Secretary in living memory. It is difficult to understand Mrs. Thatcher's motives in using Whitelaw as a means to reviving hereditary peerages. I can only conclude that she secretly wants to abolish the House of Lords. Certainly she couldn't have done the abolitionists a better turn than she has by kicking upstairs someone so universally held in contempt by the British people as Mr. Whitelaw – sorry, "Lord" Whitelaw.

PYM'S DEMISE

Another surprise that resulted from the election was the sudden removal of Mr. Francis Pym from the Government. Mr. Pym, it will be remembered, became Foreign Secretary in place of the disgraced Lord Carrington during the Falklands crisis. However, Carrington, despite his disgrace, was made a Companion of Honour in the Queen's Birthday Honours, and even the equally disgraced and abject John Nott was given a knighthood when he gave up politics. But poor Mr. Pym, besides getting the sack, also gets the cold shoulder from Mrs. Thatcher. Now why should that be? Could it just be that for a British Foreign Secretary Mr. Pym wasn't sufficiently subservient towards the Israeli Government?

WHOSE FAULT?

Following the suicide of Nguyen Mau Phung, a 13-year-old Vietnamese girl who lived in Greenwich, John Cartwright, the SDP MP for Woolwich East, has called for special aid to help Vietnamese "boat people" to adapt themselves to the British way of life. Mr. Cartwright claims that Vietnamese refugees are isolated and neglected, and his views are supported by a chorus of do-gooders, churchmen and social workers who are alarmed at their plight.

JOHN CARTWRIGHT (MP): Paid bleeding heart

It is indeed sad that any young person, of whatever race, should be so unhappy as to commit suicide. But who is to blame for the tragedy? Why, it is those very same do-gooders, paid bleeding hearts and MPs like Mr. Cartwright who persuaded Mrs. Thatcher to allow more than 10,000 "boat people" to settle in our country. The suicide of this girl typifies the sheer folly of allowing, indeed encouraging, people of vastly different cultures to settle here. Such stupidity is bound to lead to the unhappiness of those who settle here, as well as inflicting misery on the indigenous population. And incidentally, I would be interested to know just how many native Britons, young and old, have ended their lives in despair as their own familiar towns and streets have become unrecognisably alien following the invasion of hordes of immigrants.

JEWS IN THE ASCENDANT

And while we are on the subject of Israel, what was **not** surprising about Mrs. Thatcher's Cabinet reshuffle was the promotion of Jews, who now occupy three of the most important Government posts. Mr. Leon Brittan is Home Secretary, Mr. Nigel Lawson Chancellor of the Exchequer, and Sir Keith Joseph Minister for Education. In addition to these appointments, Mrs. Thatcher has been busily promoting and conferring honours on Jews in other spheres. Last year she appointed Mr. David Young as chairman of the Manpower Services Commission, and she has now followed this up by appointing his brother, Stuart, to take over the chairmanship of the BBC this month. Of course, as is well known, Mrs. Thatcher relied very much on the propaganda expertise of the Jewish firm Saatchi & Saatchi to con the British people into returning her to power; and since the election one of her main speech writers, Alfred Sherman, has been given a knighthood. (Leaders of the Establishment parties, unlike leaders of the BNP, employ professional speech writers to prepare their speeches for them.) I have mentioned just a few of the Jews who have benefited from Mrs. Thatcher's patronage, and I ask myself, why is it that Jews are so over-represented in the higher levels of Mrs. Thatcher's Britain? Could it be that it is the price she has to pay to earn the approval of the Jewish-controlled international financial system on which Britain currently depends? And could it be that she has to keep on good terms with the numerous Jews who live in her Finchley constituency?

TEACHING OUR YOUNGSTERS

BELIEVE it or not, the apparition shown in this photograph is a teacher in a British school! He is Kit Kelly – known as Kinky Kelly by his pupils – who teaches biology at the Mascalls comprehensive school in Paddock Wood, Kent. Kelly, who regularly wears mini skirts and tights, says: "We should not be judged by what we wear, but on what we are."

BIG B.N.P. MEMBERSHIP BOOM

Election publicity gives boost to recruitment: new groups being formed

A BIG MEMBERSHIP RECRUITMENT BOOM is now being enjoyed by the British National Party following its election publicity of 2 months ago. A steady flow of new members is coming into the office, and new active groups are being formed in many parts of the country where previously the party has not been organised.

Not only this, enquiries about membership are still pouring in steadily some 10 weeks after the election TV broadcast, which was the main event stimulating interest in the party. Many who saw the broadcast apparently did not have time to write enquiring about the party immediately but noted the name and address and made their enquiries later.



CHARLES PARKER
National Organiser

Others, who enquired soon after the broadcast, have waited before enrolling. If present trends continue, there will be a steady flow of new members coming into the party for many months ahead.

This great new wave of interest and support has made possible the formation of several new groups. Some have been formed, some are in the process of being formed, and some are likely to be formed in the near future.

Groups have recently been inaugurated in **Peterborough, Norwich, Southampton, Portsmouth, Cornwall** and **Cannock (Staffs.)**.

It is expected that further groups will soon be formed in **Nottingham, Somerset, Cardiff, Northampton, Luton, West Surrey, Preston** and **Cleveland.**

Co-ordinating the drive to form new groups is BNP National Organiser Charles Parker, who has been busy travelling the country and meeting new personnel. Mr. Parker reports an entirely new generation of Nationalists joining the struggle, mostly of high quality and with no previous involvement with Nationalism.

Regional News

LEICESTER

Leicester City Council has reaffirmed its ban on allowing the BNP to hire Council premises following a request by Branch Organiser John Peacock that premises should be made available for BNP meetings. The Council's Chief Executive, Mr. Derek Mellor, has written to tell Mr. Peacock that the Council will not hire premises to the BNP because the party's policies are "both racist and racialist". Mr. Peacock has replied that "the matter is far from closed. Under no circumstances would I be prepared to accept that British people should be denied facilities in their own country." Leicester BNP are taking legal advice on the matter.

BROMLEY

Bromley BNP is to be congratulated for its excellent magazine, *Counter Attack,* which is a fine example of how branches can produce their own literature to further the cause of British Nationalism. By presenting local issues affected by the internationalism and multiracialism of the Establishment politicians in a punchy way, *Counter Attack* is very successfully bringing the BNP to the public's notice in Bromley, and sales are rapidly rising. Special credit for the success of the venture is due to the Editor, but he prefers to remain anonymous for business reasons.

ENFIELD

Roy Burton, Editor of the *Enfield Nationalist,* newsletter of Enfield BNP, reports that Enfield will soon have its very own Race Relations Supremo. Writing about the appointment, Mr. Burton claims that Enfield will probably "follow the example of neighbouring boroughs like Camden and Islington where the so-called 'ethnic minorities' are given preferential treatment in areas such as employment, housing and welfare services, while the long-suffering white people are callously shoved to the back of the queue."



DAVID BRUCE
Spirited call

In the same issue Enfield Branch Chairman, David Bruce, makes a spirited call on members to "capitalise on the overall success of the recent General Election campaign, particularly on the large number of enquiries." Mr. Bruce continues: "Our cause will succeed only through our own efforts, not by having a party composed mainly of armchair Nationalists!"

New recordings now available

BNP Recordings are pleased to announce two new cassettes which will be available from early in the new year. These are:–

RALLY '82

This recording features speeches made at the British National Party rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'.

On side 1 of the cassette are recordings of the speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; on side 2 is the closing speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (II)

This cassette features the 4th and 5th of a series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall, made in a studio employing Hi-Fi equipment. The talks are:–

Side 1: **The case for nationalism.** In this talk the BNP leader demolishes the most frequently used arguments employed in opposition to the nationalist idea and urges that that idea is now essential to Britain's survival.

Side 2: **Tragedy of the 20th Century.** In this recording Tyndall speaks of World War II and its ruinous consequences for Britain and the West.

Both these talks provide ideal instructional material for educating young people in nationalism.

We also remind everyone of two other recordings still available which have proved very popular. These are:–

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1 of this cassette features recordings of parts of the speeches made at the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others.

Side 2 consists of the third of the series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall entitled **The coming British recolution.** In this talk Tyndall exposes the fraud of the political system masquerading as 'democracy' and outlines vital changes needed to raise the level of British political leadership.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (I)

The first of two recorded talks of a series by the BNP leader. These are:–

Side 1: **Our Anglo-Saxon heritage.** This talk is about the great world-wide heritage of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples and calls for their unity.

Side 2: **Britain's economic crisis.** Tyndall talks about the breakdown of the British economy over a long period and calls for a new economic policy based on economic nationalism.

Cassettes now cost £3.50 each – plus 17p for postage. All orders should be sent to: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

Please give generously!

The General Election campaign has left BNP National Headquarters very short of funds. Large sums of money were spent in financing the campaign, particularly in the way of subsidising branches too poor to pay for their own candidates, and this has sorely drained central funds.

Eventually these funds will be boosted by the large number of new supporters won by the campaign but that will take time, as this new support will not all come in immediately but over a period of several weeks, even months.

We therefore ask all present party supporters to send in what donations they can to enable Headquarters to continue functioning smoothly in the intervening period and be in a position to pay for the large output of publicity material used during and following the election.

Please make cheques out to British National Party and send them to: Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BNP Manifesto

THE ELECTION MANIFESTO of the British National Party is now available for sale. This gives a comprehensive outline of the political objectives of the party. Copies cost 30p each – plus 12½p for postage, and can be obtained from: Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

British Nationalist, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

BNP wall plaque

PLYMOUTH BRANCH of the British National Party has produced an extremely attractive piece of home decoration which should be very popular among party supporters. It is a wall plaque in wood carving, which we illustrate here. The words at top and bottom say "British National Party – for race and nation". The plaque is most attractively coloured, with Union Jacks in red, white and blue.

The plaques may be ordered from: Mr. L. Bearsford Walker, 44 Patterdale Close, Estover, Plymouth, Devon. They cost £15, incl. postage. All profits to party funds.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist,* PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead,* a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 40p. Annual subscription £6.75. 53p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3WB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	£1.20	+ 33p post
25 copies	£2.70	+ 95p post
50 copies	£4.80	+ £1.67 post
100 copies	£8.40	+ £2.00 post
150 copies	£12.00	+ £2.20 post
200 copies	£15.00	+ £2.35 post
300 copies	£21.00	+ £2.50 post
400 copies	£26.40	+ £2.80 post
500 copies	£32.00	) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£62.00	) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS

53, Greencroft Towers,
Felling, Gateshead 10.